

WU-AP/34/93
gr-qc/9402-
February 9, 1994

² Electronic address: maeda@jpnwas00

Generality of Inflation in a Planar Universe (Revised version)

HISA-AKI SHINKAI¹ and KEI-ICHI MAEDA²

Department of Physics, Waseda University,
Shinjuku, Tokyo 169-50, Japan

abstract

We study a generality of an inflationary scenario by integrating the Einstein equations numerically in a plane-symmetric spacetime. We consider the inhomogeneous spacetimes due to (i) localized gravitational waves with a positive cosmological constant Λ , and (ii) an inhomogeneous inflaton field Φ with a potential $\frac{1}{2}m^2\Phi^2$. For the case (i), we find that any initial inhomogeneities are smoothed out even if waves collide, so that we conclude that inhomogeneity due to gravitational waves do not prevent the onset of inflation. As for the case (ii), if the mean value of the inflaton field is initially as large as the condition in an isotropic and homogeneous inflationary model (i.e., the mean value is larger than several times Planck mass), the field is soon homogenized and the universe always evolves into de Sitter spacetime. These support the cosmic no hair conjecture in a planar universe. We also discuss the effects of an additional massless scalar field, which is introduced to set initial data in usual analysis.

Key Words: General Relativity, Cosmology,
Inflationary Universe.

¹ Electronic address: 62L508@jpnwas00.bitnet or 62L508@cfi.waseda.ac.jp

1 Introduction

Over a decade, the inflationary universe has become the standard scenario in the history of the universe. The fundamental idea of inflation is very simple and different from the standard big-bang model. One of the main researches is to study the generality and robustness of itself. That is, to investigate the model-independent nature of the spacetime, and to judge whether the spacetime is such a generic spacetime. This is, in fact, the cosmic no hair conjecture [2] is concerned.

The rapid expansion in the inflationary universe is described by an inflaton field, or equivalently, a cosmological constant Λ . For spacetimes with Λ , the conjecture has been proposed. The conjecture states that spacetimes with Λ approach the de Sitter spacetime. The de Sitter spacetime is stable against linear perturbations. This supports this conjecture [2]. There, however, there are some constraints [4]. So that we expect we may prove the conjecture under some constraints.

For homogeneous but anisotropic spacetimes, the conjecture is partially expanding spacetimes (except Bianchi I) approach the de Sitter spacetime within one Hubble time. And for Bianchi IX, the additional inhomogeneity is one third of the maximum value of 3-Hubble time. The same conclusion [5, 6]. For inhomogeneous spacetimes, the method we have at present is to solve the Einstein equations numerically.

Assuming a plane symmetric spacetime, the conjecture was studied by Matzner and Wilson [8] studied a plane symmetric spacetime.

field under the existence of initial thermal fluctuations using new inflationary model. They found that inflation occurs only when the potential is flat enough. Since their motivation was different from studying the cosmic no hair conjecture, they did not consider the case which include large inhomogeneities of spacetime. Although they found de Sitter space is a final state, their initial inhomogeneity is not enough large to study the cosmic no hair conjecture.

Assuming a spherically symmetric spacetime, Goldwirth and Piran (GP) studied the behavior of inhomogeneous distributions of scalar field. From an estimation of an energy balance between potential energy and scalar field gradient term, they proposed a criterion for the onset of inflation such that

$$\frac{\text{a scale length of inhomogeneity}}{\text{horizon scale}} > \text{a few times } \frac{\delta\phi}{m_{pl}} \quad (1)$$

where $\delta\phi$ is a spatial deviation of the scalar field from the mean value and m_{pl} is Planck mass. They found that a short scale inhomogeneity compared to the horizon scale leads spacetime to collapse in closed universe, and concluded that (1) is sufficient condition for chaotic inflationary models. GP's simulations, however, are done under assumptions on the isocurvature initial data with additional massless scalar field, which may cause homogeneous expansion of the spacetime in the very initial stage. This additional scalar field may change a homogenization process of spacetime as we will see later.

In this paper, we study the validity of the cosmic no hair conjecture in a plane symmetric spacetime. We have mainly two reasons why we assume a planar universe. First, we investigate the behaviors of the inhomogeneities due to the gravitational waves, which cannot exist in a spherically symmetric spacetime. It is well studied that any linear gravitational waves decay and disappear immediately in de Sitter spacetime [9], so our main interests are the spacetimes with strong gravitational waves. As some numerical study in flat spacetimes shows, if the strong gravitational waves are localized, those come to have apparent horizons and collapse into black holes

[10]. If such a strong gravitational field exists in a plane symmetric spacetime, then the spacetime may evolve to a singularity. Szekeres and Khan-Penrose [11] found that localized waves in Minkowski background spacetime collapse into a singularity if the spacetime is expanding, on the other hand, in a de Sitter spacetime, forces to extend localized waves, which overcome the force due to nonlinear effect of gravity. It is interesting to study the behavior of those competitive two effects. In this paper, we study also for a localized inhomogeneity in a plane symmetric spacetime and compare the effects to those in spherical symmetric spacetime.

Secondly, we are interested in the formation of a singularity since a singularity formed in a plane symmetric spacetime. Recently, Nakao, Maeda, Nakamura and Tanabe [12] studied spherical initial data for Brill waves in a de Sitter spacetime with a cosmological constant and found that the waves with large mass provide trapped surfaces [12]. It is expected that with large gravitational mass in a plane symmetric spacetime, it does not collapse into a black hole spacetime. In a de Sitter spacetime, there exists an upper bound on the apparent horizon. It is expected that a large inhomogeneity does not lead to a singularity in constant-driven inflation. This conjecture is supported by a numerical simulation of non-rotating-axisymmetric spacetimes with a cosmological constant [15]. From these results, it is expected that in an inflationary era, inhomogeneities will not lead to a singularity. Black holes in a background de Sitter universe suggest that a naked singularity might form. Therefore, the cosmic no hair conjecture may thus become the main problem. Our 1-dimensional case is a problem.

In Section 2, we describe basic equations.

We show the effects of inhomogeneities due to gravitational waves in Section 3, and those due to inflaton field in Section 4. Conclusions and remarks are in Section 5. As units, we use $8\pi G = 1$ and $c = 1$.

2 Equations and Numerical Methods

2.1 The models

We consider following two effects on the evolution of the spacetime; [I] inhomogeneities due to gravitational waves and [II] inhomogeneities due to an inflaton field. To make the problem simple, we set models as follows:

- [I] Initial large inhomogeneities due to gravitational waves exist in the spacetime with positive cosmological constant Λ (no inflaton field). We are interested in the competitive processes between the expansion of the background spacetime and the attractive forces of the gravity.
- [II] Introduce an inhomogeneous inflaton field Φ in the context of the chaotic inflationary model. In a realistic inflationary model, it is natural to assume such an inhomogeneous inflaton field. Our interest is the effects of the spatial gradient term of the field on the evolution of the spacetime, which may prevent the onset of the inflation.

For the second model, we make initial data in two ways. One is the similar setting of initial data as those of GP's, i.e., the spacetime with another massless scalar field Ψ to obtain an isocurvature initially. The other is without such an additional scalar field. We examine the contributions of Ψ to evolutions of the spacetime.

In the chaotic inflationary scenario, we usually assume that the average of the inflaton, $\bar{\Phi}$, is larger than *a few* m_{pl} in order for the Universe to expand sufficiently. Even under an existence of large inflaton field as an average ($\bar{\Phi} > \text{a few } m_{pl}$), it is not trivial how the large inhomogeneity of Φ contributes to the evolution of the Universe. We analyzed whether or not such a gradient term in the energy $\rho_{\Phi'}$ prevents from the onset of inflation.

2.2 Field Equations

We use the Arnowitt-Deser-Misner (ADM) formalism. The Einstein equation

$$R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}g_{\mu\nu}R = 8\pi T_{\mu\nu}$$

with the metric

$$ds^2 = -(\alpha^2 - \beta^2/\gamma_{11})dt^2 + 2\beta dt dx + \gamma_{ij}dx^i dx^j$$

where the lapse function α , the shift β , and the spatial metric γ_{ij} depend only on time t and one spatial coordinate x . The Ricci curvature K^i_j becomes in a matrix form

$$K^i_j = \begin{pmatrix} K^1_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Following ADM formalism, Hamiltonian and momentum equations in our metric are written as

$$\begin{aligned} {}^{(3)}R + (\text{tr} K)^2 - K_{ij}K^{ij} &= 16\pi\rho_H \\ D_1(K^1_1 - \text{tr} K) &= 8\pi J_1 \end{aligned}$$

and evolution equations are

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \gamma_{ij} &= -2\alpha K_{ij} + D_j \beta_i + D_i \beta_j \\ \partial_t K_{ij} &= \alpha({}^{(3)}R_{ij} + \text{tr} K K_{ij}) \\ &\quad + (D_j \beta^m) K_{mi} + (D_i \beta^m) K_{mj} \\ &\quad - \alpha\{S_{ij} + \frac{1}{2}\gamma_{ij}(\rho_H - p_H)\} \end{aligned}$$

where Greek indices $i, j, k, \dots$ move 1 to 2 on the 3-dimensional hypersurface Σ determined by $t = \text{const}$. R_{ij} is the Ricci tensor and ${}^{(3)}R$ is its Ricci scalar. S_{ij} is the momentum density and stress tensor moving along $n_\mu = (-\alpha, 0, 0, 0)$. They are defined as

$$\rho_H \equiv T_{\mu\nu} n^\mu n^\nu, \quad J_i \equiv -T_{\mu\nu} n^\mu \gamma^\nu_i$$

where $h^\nu_\mu = \delta^\nu_\mu + n^\nu n_\mu$ is the projection operator onto Σ .

In section 3, we will treat vacuum spacetime, i.e. $T_{\mu\nu} = 0$, under the assumption of the existence of a positive cosmological constant Λ , while in section 4, we will treat a scalar field Φ with potential $V(\Phi) = \frac{1}{2}m^2\Phi^2$ as a source of the inflation rather than Λ . The energy momentum tensor of the scalar field is given by

$$T_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu\Phi\partial_\nu\Phi - g_{\mu\nu}[\frac{1}{2}(\nabla\Phi)^2 + V(\Phi)]. \quad (10)$$

The scalar field evolution, then, obeys the Klein-Gordon equation

$$\square\Phi = \frac{dV}{d\Phi}. \quad (11)$$

To integrate (11), we introduce the conjugate momentum

$$\Pi = \frac{\sqrt{\gamma}}{\alpha}(-\partial_t\Phi + \frac{\beta}{\gamma_{11}}\partial_x\Phi), \quad (12)$$

where $\gamma = \det \gamma_{ij}$, and write down eq.(11) into two first-order partial differential equations:

$$\partial_t\Phi = \frac{\beta}{\gamma_{11}}\partial_x\Phi - \frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{\gamma}}\Pi, \quad (13)$$

$$\partial_t\Pi = \alpha\sqrt{\gamma}\frac{dV}{d\Phi} + \partial_x\frac{1}{\gamma_{11}}[\beta\Pi - \alpha\sqrt{\gamma}\partial_x\Phi]. \quad (14)$$

The dynamical variables are γ_{ij} and K_{ij} (and Φ and Π , when a scalar field exists).

We impose periodic boundary condition, i.e., $f(x_1, t) \equiv f(x_{N+1}, t)$, where N is the grid number in x -direction.

Our simulation procedure follows Nakamura, Maeda, Miyama and Sasaki [17]:

- (i) Determine initial values by solving two constraint equations.
- (ii) Evolve time slices by using the dynamical equations.
- (iii) Check the results of (i) and (ii) using the two constraint equations on every time slice.

Explicit procedure is described in the

So far, the Texas group [20] has planar cosmology. Their metric is a diagonalized spatial metric, which developing procedure is also different and dynamical equations to get the s

2.3 Initial Value Problem

We use the York-O'Murchadha's values. Defining conformal factor $\phi(x)$

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_{ij} &= \phi^4 \hat{\gamma}_{ij}, \\ K_{ij} &= \phi^{-2} \hat{K}_{ij}^T, \\ \rho &= \phi^{-6} \hat{\rho}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\hat{K}_{ij}^{TF}$ is the trace-free part of the extrinsic curvature $\hat{K}_{ij}$. The variables in the conformal frame.

The Hamiltonian and momentum

$$\begin{aligned} 8 {}^{(3)}\hat{\Delta}\phi &= {}^{(3)}\hat{R}\phi - \hat{K}_{ij}^{TF} \hat{K}_{ij}^T \phi, \\ \hat{D}_j \hat{K}_{TF}^{ij} &= \frac{2}{3} \phi^6 \hat{D}^i \text{tr} \hat{K} + \hat{J}^i \end{aligned}$$

where ${}^{(3)}\hat{\Delta}$ is the 3-dimensional Laplacian, ${}^{(3)}\hat{R}$ is the 3-dimensional Ricci curvature. In this approach, $\hat{\gamma}_{ij}$, $\text{tr} \hat{K}$ and $\hat{J}^i$ are determined by the extrinsic curvature $\hat{K}_{ij}^{TT}$ (and $\hat{\rho}$) left to our choice.

We impose constant-mean-curvature initial hypersurface. We also assume zero energy density ($\hat{J}^i = 0$). Then, for the initial constraint equation (19) becomes trivial. We just have to give an arbitrary K_{ij}^{TT} . The trace-free part of K_{ij}^{TF} vanishes.

In order to get the periodic solution of (18), the value of $\text{tr}\hat{K}$ which we give on the initial hypersurface should be chosen so that such a solution exists. The condition for $\text{tr}\hat{K}$ depends on the models. Details are shown in the following sections. We use the 5th order Runge-Kutta method (Fehlberg method) to solve ϕ in (18).

2.4 Time Developing Scheme

For coordinate conditions, we impose a geodesic slicing condition such as $\alpha = 1$ and $\beta = 0$. This slicing condition entails the risk that our numerical hypersurface may hit a singularity and stop there. If no singularity appears, however, then it may be the best coordinate condition for revealing whether de Sitter space emerges as a result of time evolution.

We use finite differential scheme to integrate the Einstein equations (7) and (8) with 400 grids in the spatial direction x . We use a simple central finite difference with second order scheme to determine derivatives.

The time step Δt on each slice is determined, as to keep the accuracy of the computations. We set Δt by imposing that a volume element γ and a expansion rate $\text{tr}K$ do not change too much ($\pm 0.1\%$ change) in each step.

The accuracy is checked by comparing both sides of constraint equations. For Hamiltonian constraint equation in the case of vacuum, for example, we calculate

$$Err(t, x) = \frac{(R^{(3)} + K^2) - (K_{ij}K^{ij} + 2\Lambda + 2\rho_H)}{|R^{(3)}| + K^2 + K_{ij}K^{ij} + 2\Lambda + |2\rho_H|} \quad (20)$$

at the point x on $\Sigma(t)$ and regard its maximum value $Error(t) = \max\{Err(t, x) | x \in \Sigma(t)\}$ on each hypersurface as the error on that slice. A similar definition is performed for momentum constraint equation. An example of $Error(t)$ is shown in Fig. 2.1. In our calculation, the maximum error in the Hamiltonian constraint equation is a few times of $O(10^{-4})$ on the initial hypersurface, and this accuracy is maintained even after time evolutions.

Our overall procedures to evolve the system are as follows. At first stage,

we solve the initial value on a hypersurface Σ_1 by solving $\gamma_{ij}(1)$ and $K_{ij}(1)$.

1. Evaluate the time step Δt .
2. Define next hypersurface Σ_2 and solve the initial value on Σ_2 respectively.
3. Evolve the metric on Σ_2 ($\gamma_{ij}(2)$).
4. If the scalar field exists, evolve the scalar field on Σ_2 (13) and (14) on Σ_1 .
5. If the massless scalar field exists (section 4.1), evolve them onto Σ_2 (14) for Ψ on Σ_1 .
6. Calculate r.h.s. of (7), (8), (13) and (14) on Σ_2 .
7. Evolve values on Σ_3 , using leap-frog method.
8. Check the increasing ratios of γ_{ij} and K_{ij} of certain value ($\pm 0.1\%$ change), then stop.
9. Check the accuracy of the computation.
10. Replace the values on Σ_3 by those on Σ_2 .

3 Inhomogeneities and waves

In this section, we show the evolution of inhomogeneities and gravitational waves in the spacetime with inflation. We expect two competitive effects: one is the effect of the cosmological constant, the other is the attraction of the gravity. We examine whether such inhomogeneities survive, and whether such initial inhomogeneities are amplified during inflation periods.

As we already mentioned in the introduction, linear gravitational waves are always decay in the de Sitter background spacetime. This result is also confirmed in our numerical calculations as one of our code tests. We, therefore, concentrate our attentions to the large inhomogeneities due to gravitational waves. The summary has been reported in [23].

Since we have Λ in this system, we adopt the Hubble expansion time $\tau_H = (\Lambda/3)^{-1/2}$ as our time unit, which is a characteristic expansion time of the universe. Our unit of length is also normalized to the horizon length of the de Sitter spacetime $l_H = (\Lambda/3)^{-1/2}$.

To evaluate the inhomogeneities on each hypersurfaces, we use three different curvature invariants. First one is the 3-dimensional Riemann invariant scalar ${}^{(3)}R_{ijkl} {}^{(3)}R^{ijkl}$, where ${}^{(3)}R_{ijkl}$ is the Riemann tensor of the 3-metric on Σ . We use its dimensionless value normalized by the cosmological constant,

$$\mathcal{C}(t, x) \equiv \frac{\sqrt{{}^{(3)}R_{ijkl} {}^{(3)}R^{ijkl}}}{\Lambda} \quad \text{on } \Sigma(t), \quad (21)$$

and call it the “curvature” hereafter. We estimate the magnitude of the inhomogeneities in the 3-space Σ by the maximum value of this “curvature” on each slice, i.e. $\mathcal{C}_{\max}(t) = \max\{\mathcal{C}(t, x) \mid x \in \Sigma(t)\}$.

Second one is an invariant scalar induced from the Weyl tensor $C_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}$. For the vacuum spacetime, if the Weyl tensor vanishes and no singularity appears, the spacetime is homogeneous and isotropic. Hence this can be used to check whether de Sitter universe is recovered or not. We use the decomposition of the Weyl tensor:

$$E_{\rho\sigma} = C_{\rho\mu\sigma\nu} n^\mu n^\nu, \quad B_{\rho\sigma} = {}^*C_{\rho\mu\sigma\nu} n^\mu n^\nu, \quad (22)$$

where ${}^*C_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \equiv \frac{1}{2} C^{\alpha\beta}_{\rho\sigma} \varepsilon_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu}$ is the dual of the Weyl tensor and n^μ is a timelike vector orthogonal to the hypersurface Σ . In analogy to the electromagnetism, the 3-dimensional variables $E_{\rho\sigma}$ and $B_{\rho\sigma}$ are called an electric and a magnetic parts of the Weyl tensor. We can reconstruct the Weyl tensor completely from this pair of tensors. Moreover, those two

variables are easy to drive out using

$$\begin{aligned} E_{\rho\sigma} &= {}^{(3)}R_{\rho\sigma} + \\ B_{\rho\sigma} &= \varepsilon_{\mu\nu(\rho} D^\mu l^\nu \end{aligned}$$

To estimate the field’s inhomogeneity

$$\mathcal{H}(t, x) = E_{\mu\nu} E^{\mu\nu}$$

as a sort of gravitational “super-energy” component of the Bel-Robinson tensor unless the hypersurface becomes null.

Third invariant is 4-dimensional R. We calculate this variable by using a Weyl tensor

$$R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} R^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} = C_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} C^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}$$

In the de Sitter case (isotropic and homogeneous) since $C_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} = 0$, $R_{\mu\nu} = \Lambda g_{\mu\nu}$ and $R = 4\Lambda$.

The initial values are determined as follows. We consider two cases: [case 1] waves with following two cases: [case 1] 3-metric $\hat{\gamma}_{ij}$ and $\hat{K}_{ij}^{TT} = 0$. We set a parameter a by

$$\text{diag}(\hat{\gamma}_{ij}) = (1, 1, 1, 1)$$

where a and x_0 are free parameters. The spacetime is flat and the inhomogeneities reside in

$$\text{diag}(\hat{K}_{ij}^{TT}) = \tilde{a} e^{-\tilde{x}_0}$$

where $\tilde{a}$ and $\tilde{x}_0$ are also free parameters listed in Table 3.1 and 3.2. The results are those of those of [case 1].

In order to get a consistent data we set $\hat{K}$ as

$$\text{tr} \hat{K} = -\sqrt{3}$$

on the initial hypersurface $\Sigma(t=0)$. Here, δ_K is a positive constant, which should be introduced because the periodic pulse increases expansion rate of the universe besides Λ . By integrating (18), we get conformal factor ϕ . There is one free parameter λ , which fixes the scale. If ϕ is multiplied by λ , we find another solution by replacing δ_K with δ_K/λ^2 , which is physically the same as the previous solution. Hence, if we impose $\phi \rightarrow 1$ at the numerical boundary, then the value of δ_K is fixed; it is less than $O(10^{-2})$.

A pulse-like wave has two characteristic physical dimensions, a width and an amplitude. We use $\mathcal{C}_{\max}(t)$ as an amplitude measure, and we define the width $l(t)$ by the proper distance between two points where γ (the square of the 3-volume) decreases by half from its maximum value $\gamma_{\max}$. An example of the initial data is shown in Fig. 3.1. This is the case of $l(t=0) = 0.086l_H$, $\mathcal{C}_{\max}(t=0) = 9.67$.

The behaviors of the time evolutions are following. Initial distortion begins to propagate both in the $\pm x$ directions. We see the initial curvature $\mathcal{C}(t=0, x)$ localized at the center with three peaks [Fig. 3.1(b)] separates just after $t=0$, and propagate at the light speed. In Fig. 3.2, we show both “curvature” $\mathcal{C}(t, x)$ and “super-energy” $\mathcal{H}(t, x)$. Two waves moves to the numerical boundaries and collides each other on the boundary, since we assume periodic boundary condition. After the collision, two waves proceed again in their original directions. This behavior is reminiscent of solitonic waves. We also show, in Fig. 3.3, $\mathcal{C}_{\max}(t)$ and the invariant $\mathcal{I}(t, x) = \sqrt{R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}R^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}}/\Lambda$. We can see clearly that the collision of the waves occurs around $t = 0.2\tau_H$, when the “curvature” is superposed. At the final stage, the spacetime is homogenized by the expansion of the universe. We can see $\mathcal{I}(t, x) \rightarrow \sqrt{8/3}$ (homogeneous de Sitter spacetime) within one Hubble expansion time τ_H as expected [see eq.(26)]. We see the spacetime finally succumbs to the overall expansion driven by the cosmological constant, and becomes indistinguishable from de Sitter spacetime.

In our simulation, l and $\mathcal{C}_{\max}(0)$ range for [case 1] between $0.080l_H \leq l \leq 2.5l_H$ and $0.020 \leq \mathcal{C}_{\max}(0) \leq 125.0$. We also computed the evolution of [case 2], and found that all initial inhomogeneities decay and disappeared

within one Hubble expansion time. We conclude that for any large Riemann inhomogeneity on the initial hypersurface, it has little effect and the spacetime always

One of our motivation is to see what happens in the presence of a cosmological constant. If collisions occur at the boundary, which may cause numerical difficulties, we avoid such numerical difficulties, we add two nearby pulse waves in one numerical box. The evolution of the curvature has been studied, and it is shown that all inhomogeneities decay and disappear. The widths and “curvatures” ($0.080l_H \leq l$ and $0.020 \leq \mathcal{C}_{\max}(0) \leq 125.0$) and the periodic distance d is $0.20l_H$. The decay below 1 % of their initial “curvature” within one Hubble time.

The results that all the spacetimes eventually become homogeneous include collision of waves occurs first at the boundary. Centrella and Matzner [19]. They examined shock waves in an expanding Kasner spacetime numerically, and concluded that such a collision is much faster than Kasner (power-law) expansion due to the cosmological constant.

One may wonder what happens in the presence of a cosmological constant. We expect no effect of the cosmological constant in cases with no cosmological constant. If we impose periodic boundary conditions, the contribution of the energy of gravity to the ground spacetime expand or contract. Numerical evolutions show that such a periodic collision leads to another waves. This suggests that a plane-symmetric expanding spacetime

lutions for the case of colliding waves and for the case in a contracting background are still under studying. The details will be reported in the elsewhere.

4 Inhomogeneities due to scalar field

In this section, we introduce a scalar field $\Phi(x, t)$ as a source of inflation instead of cosmological constant, and show the results of the behavior of its inhomogeneities to the evolution of the spacetime. In the usual analytic approach of inflationary scenario, the inflaton field is assumed homogeneous. By introducing inhomogeneities, the energy density of the scalar field ρ_Φ is written as

$$\begin{aligned}\rho_\Phi &= \frac{1}{2\gamma}\Pi^2 + \frac{1}{2\gamma_{11}}(\partial_x\Phi)^2 + V(\Phi) \\ &\equiv \rho_{\dot{\Phi}} + \rho_{\Phi'} + V(\Phi),\end{aligned}\tag{30}$$

where $V(\Phi)$ is the potential term of the scalar field. Our interests is how the gradient term $\rho_{\Phi'}$ affects on the expansion of the universe. The initial kinetic term $\rho_{\dot{\Phi}}$ gives less effects on the inflationary scenario.

The same-aimed simulations have been already done by GP using a spherically symmetric code [7], and one of their results is that the spacetime with large $\rho_{\Phi'}$ do not evolve into the inflationary era. In order to compare the results between ours and theirs, i.e., the difference of the symmetry of the spacetime, we first set similar initial situations and parameters with GP, that is with ‘isocurvature initial data’ (section 4.1). This condition makes us easy to determine initial data with constant mean curvature slicing, but also makes us unclear the effects of inflaton Φ itself, because the spacetime may expand homogeneously in the very initial stage by introducing another scalar field Ψ , and such an expansion might reduce an initial large inhomogeneity of inflaton field. So that we also prepare the initial data without Ψ , and computed their time evolutions (section 4.2).

4.1 Evolution of the isocurvature

We study the evolutions of inhomogeneous initial data following to GP. In addition, we introduce a massless scalar field Ψ such that the

$$\rho_{\text{total}} =$$

becomes uniform, where $\rho_\Psi = \rho_{\dot{\Psi}} + \rho_{\Psi'}$. The constraint equation (5) is initially trivial for the constant slicing (33). This method was proposed to compare our results with theirs to show the effects of Ψ . The massless field Ψ is introduced as a perturbation, expected to dissipate immediately by the expansion, as we show later in Fig. 4.5, only inflaton field remains at the late stage. The initial spacetime is set with constant expansion rate (since $\rho_{\text{total}} = \text{constant}$). The constant expansion may not produce an exact mode of inhomogeneity. As we show in the next section, the introduction of inhomogeneous initial data and follow the evolution.

In order to define dimensionless variables, we set the cosmological constant as $\Lambda_{\text{eff}} \equiv \rho_{\text{total}}$, and the time scale by the units τ_H and l_H , where $\tau_H = 1/H$ and $l_H = 1/H$. $V(\Phi)$ is chaotic inflationary type; $V(\Phi) = M^4 \exp(\sqrt{2/3}\Phi/l_P)$.

Initial scalar distribution is set as

$$\Phi_{\text{initial}} = \Phi_0 + \delta\Phi$$

where $\Phi_0, \delta\Phi$ and λ are parameters of the initial scalar field, amplitude of inhomogeneity, and the wavelength, respectively. We set the initial spacetime metric and gravitational waves ($\hat{\gamma}_{ij} = \delta_{ij}$, $\hat{K}_{ij}^{TT} = 0$) as

$$\hat{K} = -\frac{1}{2}\partial_t \hat{\gamma}$$

We choose Φ_0 several times of m_{pl} in order to get ‘suitable’ expansion of the Universe when $\delta\Phi = 0$. This is from a condition for inflation in isotropic and homogeneous universe. We are interested in effects of gradient term of the scalar field $\rho_{\Phi'}$ to the time evolution of spacetime, especially large $\rho_{\Phi'}$ compare to ρ_{total} . We get large $\rho_{\Phi'}$, if we choose small λ and large $\delta\Phi$. However, the total energy density $\rho_{\text{total}} = \rho_{\Phi'} + V(\Phi)$ at the inflationary era is order of the Planck scale m_{pl}^4 , so that if we introduce ‘suitable’ Φ_0 , then acceptable values of λ and $\delta\Phi$ are limited. But we can get large enough $\rho_{\Phi'}$ compare to ρ_{total} as will be shown in Table.

First, we prepare initial data of the scale $\lambda \simeq l_H$ and the amplitude up to $\delta\Phi \sim 0.1\Phi_0$ (model [II-1a $\sim$ 1c] in Table 4.1). Corresponding ratio of $\rho_{\nabla} \equiv \rho_{\Phi'} + \rho_{\Psi'}$ to ρ_{total} are also shown in Table 4.1. Those model have large contributions of ρ_{∇} , we may regard that gradient term is locally dominated. As we can see from Fig. 4.1, in which the ways of evolutions (model [II-1b]) is shown, all the inhomogeneous scalar field are first homogenized and the field go to the slow-rolling phase along to the ordinary chaotic inflationary scenario. We find the spacetime expands sufficiently in the region where the inflaton has large enough Φ_0 . This confirms the criterion (1) proposed in spherically symmetric case by GP.

Next, we prepare initial data of the small λ . In these cases, we may expect a formation of the collapse of the spacetime, as an example shown by GP. Our case has planar symmetry, so if the collapse occurs, then the naked singularity appears. Hence from this calculation we can also discuss the so-called cosmic censorship in the spacetime with inflaton field. We prepare data with the same $\delta\Phi$ and different λ ’s such as $\lambda = l_H, \frac{1}{2}l_H, \frac{1}{4}l_H$ and $\frac{1}{6}l_H$, when $\Phi_0 = 8.0m_{\text{pl}}$ and $\delta\Phi = 0.06m_{\text{pl}}$ (model [II-1d $\sim$ 1g] in Table 4.1). In the last case ($\lambda = \frac{1}{6}l_H$), ρ_{∇} is locally 86% of the ρ_{total} , we can regard gradient term is locally dominated again.

The typical time evolution of Φ is shown in Fig. 4.2 (model [II-1g]). The evolution is quite similar to Fig. 4.1. That is, in all the case, the field Φ is homogenized and the spacetime expands sufficiently.

For various scale of the deviation λ (model [II-1d $\sim$ 1g]), we show the

trajectories of the evolution at $x = 0$ and $x = l$ (Fig. 4.3). At the beginning, the field Φ is around the mean value of the field in the region. The field will soon approach that of the homogeneous case. The scalar field begins damped oscillation around the potential minimum.

To compare the effects of ρ_{∇} on the evolution, we show the trajectories for various λ in Fig. 4.4. The results are same as in Fig. 4.3, and the merging of the trajectories is independent of the initial gradient term. This is similar to the symmetric case [7]. The contributions of ρ_{∇} to ρ_{total} are drawn in Fig. 4.4(b). Effects on the evolution are compared using the maximum value of ρ_{∇} . In this figure, the homogeneous initial data $\Phi_0 = 8.0m_{\text{pl}}$ and maximum value $\Phi_{\text{initial}} = 0.1\Phi_0$ are shown with solid line, which are almost independent of λ . In inhomogeneous initial data. So we can see that the evolution of the universe. In Fig. 4.5, we show the evolution of ρ_{∇} in energy density ($\rho_{\dot{\Phi}}, \rho_{\Phi'}, \rho_{\dot{\Psi}}, \rho_{\Psi'}$). The ratio $\rho_{\nabla} = \rho_{\Phi'} + \rho_{\Psi'}$ becomes less effective as λ decreases. The kinetic energy of the scalar field dominates the total energy. The kinetic energy of the massless field Ψ is about $2.5 \tau_H$. So that we may say that the evolution is dominated by the field Ψ . Hence, to search the evolution of the universe, better survey initial data without such effects.

Since we are working in a planar symmetry, the effects of ρ_{∇} are also exist in this system. We can see the evolution of its evolutions. In Fig. 4.6, the maximum value of ρ_{∇} on each hypersurface for various λ is shown. The results of the initial Weyl invariant become larger as λ decreases. The homogenized by the expansion of the spacetime. Those in Section 3.

4.2 Evolutions of the inhomogeneous scalar field

Next, we show the time evolution of the initial data without introducing a massless scalar field. This setting makes clear the effects of inhomogeneous scalar field, since initial expansion rate are not uniform over the spacetime.

To show the difference with the previous model, we set the same chaotic potential with $m^2 = 0.01$, and the same initial scalar distribution form Φ_{initial} . To define a unit, we set the effective cosmological constant as $\tilde{\Lambda}_{\text{eff}} \equiv \frac{1}{2}m^2\Phi_0^2$, where Φ_0 is the mean value of the initial scalar field [see eq. (32)], and fix unit l_H and τ_H using $\tilde{\Lambda}_{\text{eff}}$. We set the background spacetime is flat on the initial hypersurface, and choose $\text{tr}\hat{K}$ as

$$\text{tr}\hat{K} = -\sqrt{3\tilde{\Lambda}_{\text{eff}}(1 + \tilde{\delta}_K)}. \quad (34)$$

Since our present model is not isocurvature, $\tilde{\delta}_K$ must be introduced in order to take into account the inhomogeneity effect, and is determined by the same condition as in Sec.3.

As like the cases in the previous section, we first prepare initial data for large amplitude $\delta\Phi$ and the scale λ to be larger than a horizon scale (model [II-2a $\sim$ 2c] in Table 4.2). Initial rate of $\rho_{\Phi'}$ to ρ_{total} and each $\tilde{\delta}_K$ are shown in Table 4.2. The gradient energy rate $\overline{\rho_{\Phi'}}/\overline{\rho_{\text{total}}}$ are large enough to treat our problem. The inflaton in such a case behaves like a homogeneous field in one horizon region, and induce a sufficient expansion if the average value of Φ is ‘suitably’ large for the inflationary model. These results are again agree with GP’s results as we expected.

We next prepare initial data for small λ compare to the horizon scale. With the same reason in the previous section, if we concentrate our attentions for the small scale deviations λ compare to the horizon scale, then only small amplitude of $\delta\Phi$ is acceptable. We set $\lambda = 0.2l_H$ and change $\delta\Phi$ (model [II-2d $\sim$ 2h] in Table 4.2).

A typical example of the time evolution of scalar field is shown in Fig. 4.7. We see the field Φ is rolling down along the chaotic inflationary potential. In the figure, only the configuration until $12\tau_H$ is drawn, but we can see differences with that of the isocurvature model (Fig. 4.2). Since

there is no uniform expansion in the homogenized immediately but has dipoint, and drags the initial distribution. In Fig. 4.7, the field seems to become homogeneous. The field of initially larger Φ gets larger potential faster than that from initial. We find again inhomogeneous distribution. The configuration of the scalar field in the spacetime goes to the inflationary era follows the usual slow rolling scenario in a de Sitter universe. We can see this by using Fig. 4.8. For the different initial data shown at a time a bit after the beginning of the homogeneous case. Compare to the homogeneous case. Compare to the homogeneous case. Compare to the homogeneous case. We find the trajectories at the very beginning. The difference shows the effect of an additional initial uniform expansion make the inhomogeneous. The time evolution [trajectories shown in Fig. 4.4(a)].

An important fact is that we did not even if we set the initial inhomogeneous. The effect of the gradient energy term $\rho_{\nabla\Phi}$ does not work on preventing an onset of inflation. If $\rho_{\nabla\Phi}$ is large enough to derive an inflationary era, and isotropic spacetime, then the planar spacetime is inflationary era.

5 Conclusion

We analyzed the validity of the cosmological metric spacetime. We integrated the Einstein equations in the ADM formalism to see following two

time; strong inhomogeneity [I] due to localized gravitational waves in the spacetime with positive cosmological constant and [II] due to inhomogeneous inflaton field.

For the model [I], we see all initial inhomogeneities are smoothed out within one Hubble expansion time τ_H including their collisions' effect. So that we conclude that the nonlinearity of the gravity do not cause to prevent the onset of the inflation under the existence of positive cosmological constant Λ .

For the model [II], we prepare two initial situations; isocurvature initial data and fully inhomogeneous initial data. In both cases, we find that initial gradient term of the energy density of the scalar field may not lead to collapse of the spacetime. If the initial mean value of the inflaton field, Φ_0 , is large enough to derive inflation in homogeneous and isotropic spacetime, then we can conclude such a universe will always be homogenized and expand sufficiently.

For the case of the inhomogeneous scale λ is larger than the horizon scale, our calculations confirm the criterion (1) by Goldwirth and Piran, and also their calculations for spherical spacetime.

Even for the case of small λ , we could not find the collapsing universe. We did not face to a formation of a naked singularity at least in a planar universe. This may support a cosmic censorship conjecture. Therefore, we conclude that inflation is generic, and the usual analysis with isotropy and homogeneity may be justified in a plane-symmetric spacetime. We also partially confirm our inflationary scenario of inhomogeneous universe, which we mentioned in the introduction. That is, a large inhomogeneity does not necessarily prevent cosmological constant-driven expansion, instead the spacetime evolve into a de Sitter spacetime with many small black holes induced by gravitational collapses.

As we denoted in section 4.2, the condition of isocurvature initial data by introducing an additional massless field may lead to the different configuration on the evolution of the spacetime. The critical difference did not appear in the plane symmetric spacetime, but it is necessary to treat

precisely also in a spherical universe. symmetric initial data without intro

In order to clear out the generality —for example, those present in cylind more general spacetimes—are require

Acknowledgment

We would like to acknowledge L. and K. Oohara for helpful discussions to thank to the Yukawa Institute for this work was done. This work was Aid for Scientific Research Fund of the Culture Nos. (04640312 and 0521801 Special Research Projects and by Th

References

- [1] The original idea is in
A.H. Guth, Phys. Rev. **D 23**, 347,
K. Sato, Mon. Not. Roy. Astron.
- [2] G.W. Gibbons, S.W. Hawking,
Boucher, G.W. Gibbons, G.T. Ho
A review is, *e.g.*,
K. Maeda, in Fifth Marcel Gross
Australia, 1988, edited by D.Blai
tific, Singapore, 1989), p.145.

- [3] H.A. Feldman, R.H. Brandenberger, Phys. Lett. **227 B**, 359 (1989); J.H. Kung, R.H. Brandenberger, Phys. Rev. **D 40**, 2532 (1989); *ibid.* **D 42**, 1008 (1990).
- [4] K.Sato, in the Proceedings of I.A.U. Symposium No.130, *The Large Scale Structure of the Universe*, ed.by J.Audouze *et al.*, p.67 (IAU, 1988)
- [5] R.M. Wald, Phys. Rev. **D 28**, 2118 (1983).
- [6] Y. Kitada and K. Maeda, Phys. Rev. **D 45**, 1416 (1991); Class. Quantum Grav. **10**, 703 (1993).
- [7] D.S. Goldwirth and T. Piran, Phys. Rev. **D 40**, 3263 (1989); Phys. Rev. Lett. **64**, 2852 (1990); Phys. Rep. **214**, 223 (1992), D.S. Goldwirth, Phys. Lett. **B 243**, 41 (1990); Phys. Rev. **D 43**, 3204 (1991).
- [8] H. Kurki-Suonio, J. Centrella, R.A. Matzner and J.R. Wilson, Phys. Rev. **D 35**, 435 (1987).
- [9] T. Piran, in *The Early Universe*, ed. by W. Unruh, (Reidel, Dordrecht, 1986); K. Nakao, T. Nakamura, K. Oohara and K. Maeda, Phys. Rev. **D 43**, 1788 (1991).
- [10] S.M. Miyama, Prog. Theo. Phys. **65**, 894 (1981); A.M. Abrahams, C.R. Evans, Phys. Rev. **D 46**, R4117 (1992).
- [11] P. Szekeres, Nature **228**, 1183 (1970); J. Math. Phys. **13**, 286 (1972); V.A. Khan and R. Penrose, Nature **229**, 185 (1971).
- [12] K. Nakao, K. Maeda, T. Nakamura and K. Oohara, Phys. Rev. **D 47**, 3194 (1993).
- [13] K. Nakao, Gen. Rel. Grav. **24**, 1069 (1992).
- [14] K. Nakao, K. Yamamoto and K. Maeda, Phys. Rev. **D 47**, 3203 (1993); T. Shiromizu, K. Nakao, H. Kodama and K. Maeda, *ibid.* **D 47**, R3099 (1993).
- [15] M. Shibata, K. Nakao, T.Nakao, AP/35/93, Waseda University.
- [16] J.W. York, Jr., in *Sources of Gravity* (Cambridge, 1979) ; N. O’Murchadha **D 10**, 428 (1974).
- [17] T. Nakamura, K. Maeda, S. Miyama, **63**, 1229 (1980).
- [18] L. Smarr, Ann. N.Y. Acad. of Sciences **357**, 1 (1985).
- [19] J. Centrella and R.A. Matzner, Phys. Rev. **D 21**, 1291 (1980); J. Centrella, *ibid.* **241**, 875 (1980); J. Centrella, Phys. Rev. **D 25**, 930 (1982).
- [20] P. Anninos, J.M. Centrella and F. Hehl, Phys. Rev. **D 40**, 1785 (1989); in *Frontiers in Numerical Relativity*, ed. by J. Centrella, Finn and D.W. Hobil, (Cambridge University Press, 1991); Phys. Rev. **D 43**, 1825 (1991).
- [21] N. O’Murchadha and J.W. York, Phys. Rev. **D 27**, 1233 (1983).
- [22] V.D. Zakharov, *Gravitational Waves*, (North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1973); Press, Jerusalem, 1973).
- [23] H. Shinkai and K. Maeda, Phys. Rev. **D 47**, 1162 (1993).
- [24] T. Chiba, K. Nakao and T. Nakamura, Phys. Rev. **D 47**, 1162 (1993); published in Phys. Rev. **D**. H. Shinkai, T. Chiba, K. Nakao and T. Nakamura, Phys. Rev. **D 47**, 1162 (1993).
- [25] See [15] and H. Kurki-Suonio, Phys. Rev. **D 48**, 3611 (1993). In the evolutions of the inhomogeneous universe, the results are presented.

Table Captions

Table 3.1:

Examples of initial parameters for model [I] [**case 1**]. a and x_0 are parameters to define $\hat{\gamma}_{ij}$ in eq.(27), L is a periodic boundary length, and δ_K is a constant in eq.(29). $l(t=0)$ and $\mathcal{C}_{\max}(t=0)$ are width and amplitude, respectively, on the initial hypersurface.

Table 3.2:

Examples of initial parameters for model [I] [**case 2**]. $\tilde{a}$ and $\tilde{x}_0$ are parameters to define $\hat{\gamma}_{ij}$ in eq.(28), and the rest are the same with Table 3.1.

Table 4.1:

Examples of initial parameters for the isocurvature model. $\Phi_0, \delta\Phi, \lambda$ means average, amplitude of deviations and scale of the deviation, respectively [in eq.(28)]. Initial ratio of gradient term ρ_{∇} to the ρ_{total} are also shown. The mass term of the potential, m , is set as $m^2 = 0.01$.

Table 4.2:

Initial parameters for the fully inhomogeneous model. $\tilde{\delta}_K$ is a constant in eq.(34). The mass term of the potential is set as $m^2 = 0.01$.

Model	a	x_0	L
I-1a	-0.050	0.050	0.667
I-1b	-0.100	0.050	0.667
I-1c	-0.333	0.080	1.000
I-1d	-0.500	0.080	1.000

Table

Model	$\tilde{a}$	$\tilde{x}_0$	L
I-2a	1.00	0.10	0.667
I-2b	1.00	0.05	0.500
I-2c	2.00	0.05	0.500
I-2d	3.00	0.05	0.500

Table

Model	Φ_0	$\delta\Phi$	λ	(max) $\rho_{\nabla}/\rho_{\text{total}}$	$\overline{\rho_{\nabla}}/\overline{\rho_{\text{total}}}$
II-1a	5.00	0.75	1.00	92.5%	46.1%
II-1b	8.00	0.72	1.00	85.3%	42.5%
II-1c	8.00	0.36	0.50	85.3%	42.5%
II-1d	8.00	0.06	1.00	2.37%	1.18%
II-1e	8.00	0.06	0.50	9.47%	4.73%
II-1f	8.00	0.06	0.250	37.9%	18.9%
II-1g	8.00	0.06	0.167	85.2%	42.5%

Table 4.1

Model	Φ_0	$\delta\Phi$
II-2a	8.00	1.50
II-2b	8.00	2.40
II-2c	8.00	3.60
II-2d	8.00	0.03
II-2e	8.00	0.06
II-2f	8.00	0.18
II-2g	8.00	0.30
II-2h	8.00	0.60

Table

Figure Captions

Figure 2.1:

The accuracy of the Hamiltonian constraint equation. The solid line and the dotted line show the maximum error $Error(t)$ and the averaged error, respectively, on the hypersurface at every time step for the calculation of the inhomogeneous scalar field (the case of model [II-2f] in Section 4.2).

Figure 3.1:

An example of the initial configuration for the case of a pulse-like distortion ([case 1]). This is the case of model [I-1a] in Table 3.1. In (a), the conformal factor ϕ in equation (15) is shown. In (b), the “curvature” $\mathcal{C}(t, x)$ in (21).

Figure 3.2:

Time evolutions of propagating plane waves. (a) “curvature” $\mathcal{C}(t, x)$ [eq.(21)] and (b) “super-energy” $\mathcal{H}(t, x)$ [eq.(25)] are shown for the initial data shown in Fig. 3.1.

Figure 3.3:

The maximal value of $\mathcal{I}(t, x) \equiv \sqrt{R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}R^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}}/\Lambda$ (solid line), and $\mathcal{C}(t, x) \equiv \sqrt{{}^{(3)}R_{ijkl}{}^{(3)}R^{ijkl}}/\Lambda$ (dotted line) on each hypersurface $\Sigma(t)$ are shown for the same data with Fig. 3.1. We find $\mathcal{I}(t, x) \rightarrow \sqrt{8/3}$ within one Hubble expansion time (homogeneous de Sitter spacetime) as expected.

Figure 3.4:

The time evolution of the “curvature” $\mathcal{C}(t, x)$ resulting from waves that are located closely. Two waves are the same form, $l = 0.10l_H$ and $\mathcal{C}_{\max}(t = 0) = 51.0$, and the periodic distance is $0.30l_H$. We see them collide and in the collision region, the “curvature” seems to be superposed, but finally the spacetime is homogenized by the expansion of the universe.

Figure 4.1:

A typical example of the time evolution of the scalar field (isocurvature model). The mode Φ of the field Φ is rolling down along the potential $V(\Phi) = \frac{1}{2}m^2\Phi^2$ with $m^2 = 0.01$. Although the field is locally dominated, we see the field Φ is rolling down.

Figure 4.2:

The same as Fig. 4.1, but of model [II-2f].

Figure 4.3:

Phase space diagram($\Phi - \bar{\Pi}$) indicating the evolution of the field at $x = 0$ both for the inhomogeneous and homogeneous initial data (solid line). The evolution of the field is shown in Table 4.1, while the latter initial data is shown in Table 4.2. The dotted line is coincide with the solid line, showing that the homogeneous scalar field includes much inhomogeneities.

Figure 4.4:

(a) Trajectories in $\Phi - \bar{\Pi}$ phase space for the isocurvature model. Only the solid line, dashed line, long-dot-dashed line, short-dot-dashed line, and dotted line of Model [II-1d, 1e, 1f, 1g] respectively are shown. The trajectory of the homogeneous scalar field is shown as a solid line, slowing down the potential.

(b) The evolution of the volume element $\mathcal{V}$ on each hypersurface for each case. The solid line shows the case of homogeneous scalar field and $\Phi = 8.06m_{\text{pl}}$ (indistinguishable) and the scalar field enhances the expansion.

Figure 4.5:

The proportions of the each terms in energy density to ρ_{total} ; $\rho_{\dot{\Phi}}$ (bold-solid line), $\rho_{\Phi'}$ (bold-dotted line), $\rho_{\dot{\Psi}}$ (thin-solid line), $\rho_{\Psi'}$ (thin-dotted line), and $V(\Phi)$ (three-dot-dashed line). (a) shows until $1.0\tau_H$, while (b) shows until $5.0\tau_H$.

Figure 4.6:

The evolutions of the maximum Weyl invariant $\sqrt{C_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}C^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}}/\Lambda_{\text{eff}}$ on the hypersurface.

Figure 4.7:

A typical example of the time evolution of initially inhomogeneous scalar field. The model [II-2f] in Table 4.2 is shown. We see the field Φ is rolling down along the chaotic inflationary potential $V(\Phi) = \frac{1}{2}m^2\Phi^2$ with $m^2 = 0.01$. Compare to the Fig. 4.2, we notice the scalar field's configuration drags its initial form even after the time evolution.

Figure 4.8:

Trajectories in $\Phi - \bar{\Pi}$ phase space for various kinetic terms' initial data for the fully inhomogeneous model (at $x = 0$, where the maximum Φ exists initially). Only the beginning part is drawn. The detail parameters are shown in Table 4.2. The bold line shows the trajectory in the case of homogeneous scalar field ($\Phi = 8.0m_{pl}$). The dotted line, dot-dashed line, dashed line and solid line are those of Model [II-2e, 2f, 2g, 2h] respectively. All of them will coincide to the homogeneous' line soon after slowing down the potential.

This figure "fig1-1.png" is available in "png" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/gr-qc/9402022v1>

This figure "fig2-1.png" is available in "png" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/gr-qc/9402022v1>

This figure "fig3-1.png" is available in "png" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/gr-qc/9402022v1>

This figure "fig1-2.png" is available in "png" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/gr-qc/9402022v1>

This figure "fig3-2.png" is available in "png" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/gr-qc/9402022v1>